PATENT RESPONSE

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application:

09/683,921

Filing Date:

March 1, 2002

Inventor:

Timothy P. Goggins

Title:

Lenticular Bar Code Image

Examiner:

Kumiko Koyama

Art Unit:

2876

Attorney Docket:

NG-31336 (07845.0032)

Confirmation No.: Customer No.:

3798 022202 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 8 2004

OFFICIAL

## CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

### Mailing

 deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 CFR 1.8(a)

37 CFR 1.10

with sufficient postage as first class mail a As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. \_

#### Transmission

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Koyama at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: /-30-04

Bundette M. Jakan

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:

page 2

Amended Specification begins on:

page 3

Amended Claims begin on:

page 5

Remarks begin on: Conclusion begins on:

page 15 page 20

Extension of Time begins on:

page 21

È

PATENT RESPONSE

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to an August 1, 2003 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of said application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response, and intends the scope of the invention and previously pending claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner, and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.